

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0111001 DATE: <u>5/13/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1100</u>	DEPART: 1	1230	
FACILITY NAME: DAVIE PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2401 COLLEGE A	AVE			
DAVIE 33317				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: BanaszakConcrete@BellSouth.net CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/21/2010 / 3/21/2010 (effective date) (end of the contact	1/2015	PHONE: (954)476-1004 Mobile: (954)444-5562 PHONE: Mobile:		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>NG</u>	ł	(check ☑ only one pox for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still WILLIAM If no, who is?:	I TRIBBLE?		⊠ Yes □No	
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	ative update within 30 days?)	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No ☐No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			∑ Yes	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –177T/HR READY-MIX BATCH PLANT,1/2 SPLIT SILO W/ONE OF2 BAGHO subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 3/21/13 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🛭 Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –177TPH READYMIX BATCH PLANT 1/2 SPLIT SILO W/1 OF 2 BAGHOUSE subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 3/21/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	⊠ Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	∐ No
particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 – CCB Plant-block plant silo (27T/hr) controlled w/C&W CP 230 subject to Reasonable Precautions

D. D. C. C. C. D. D. D. C. D.		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		ļ
1. Data Charles 2/01/12		
1. Date of last inspection: 3/21/13	□ • ·	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		∐ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Boxed N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	-	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi	ned	
emissions by:		ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	- [2] 103	L 110
control emissions?	🕅 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	<u> </u>	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	□ No
particulate manuer mount proof.	2 1 0 5	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-RM plant truck loadout controlled w/spraybar subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 3/21/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Larking Areas, Stock Lifes, and Lards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	∇ v	□ N-
particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🔀 Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section
6 – CCB Plant-block plant weigh hopper w/single cloth bag filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 3/21/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
THE WAY TO SEE THAT IN COLUMN TO A COLUMN		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🖂 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	Z 105	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Vac	\square No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🖂 1 es	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Xes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	- ∐ Yes - □ Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared (275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared (3,000 gal gasoline/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 3,000 gal gasoline/yr 3,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared (3,000 gal gasoline/yr 3,000 gal gasoli		00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	X Ves	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	-
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (f only stationary, skip the followin	g question 2.))
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]_	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	[i)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was	e)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check 🗹 box for each	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 			
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?			NoNoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		omitted Yes	☐ No
C.Pitters	5/13/2014		
C.Pitters Inspector's Name (Please Print)	5/13/2014 Date of Inspection		
	Date of Inspection	spection	